## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	5.12		
	Marco Antonio Juarez-Meza	Case Number:	11-6365M	
and was re	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. presented by counsel. I conclude by a prendant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing vertile to the evidence to the	vas held on July 15, 2011. Defendant was presen he defendant is a flight risk and order the detentior	
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:			
×	The defendant is not a citizen of t	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
×	The defendant, at the time of the	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United States of	or in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior crimina	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	tico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	pplicant but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of the defendan	t using numerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contact by	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximu	um of	years imprisonment.	
at the time  1. 2. Th a correctio appeal. Th of the Unite defendant  IT deliver a co Court. IT	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co DIREC e defendant is committed to the custody as facility separate, to the extent practical edefendant shall be afforded a reasonated States or on request of an attorney for to the United States Marshal for the pure APPE/IS ORDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsideral IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release	noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ifendant will flee. Inditions will reasonably assure CTIONS REGARDING DETEN If the Attorney General or his able, from persons awaiting or s able opportunity for private consider the Government, the person in construction of an appearance in construction or the detention order be filed with the pretrial Services at least set to a third party is to be considered.	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.  IEASE In the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tone day prior to the hearing set before the District dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria	
investigate	e the potential third party custodian.  ATED this 18 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 201		Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
<i>-</i>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Jones -		
	Un	David K. Duncan ited States Magistrate Judg	ge	